

Term	Spring, 2018 (2018A)	Enrollment	14	School	ARTS & SCIENCES
Activity Type	LAB	Eligible	14	Division	-
Cross Listed Sections	-	Responses	12	Department	MATHEMATICS
		Response Rate	86%	Subject	MATHEMATICS

Question and Scale	Average Ratings					This Instructor Only Worst Rating...Best Rating					Responses
	Instructor	Section	Course	-	0	1	2	3	4		
1 [C\$LN] - Overall quality of the TA leading the lab section. Scale: 0 to 4: Poor, Fair, Good, Very good, Excellent	2.33	2.33	2.76	-	8%	8%	33%	42%	8%	12	
2 Overall quality of the lab. Scale: 0 to 4: Poor, Fair, Good, Very good, Excellent	1.92	1.92	2.53	-	17%	8%	50%	17%	8%	12	
3 [C\$LN] - The TA communicated effectively. Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree	2.50	2.50	2.86	-	13%	0%	25%	50%	13%	8	
4 [C\$LN] - The TA administered the lab in a well-organized manner. Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree	3.13	3.13	3.32	-	13%	0%	0%	38%	50%	8	
5 [C\$LN] - The TA effectively stimulated my interest in the lab. Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree	2.38	2.38	2.89	-	13%	0%	38%	38%	13%	8	
6 In this lab I developed my abilities to perform and interpret experiments. Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree	2.38	2.38	2.61	-	13%	0%	38%	38%	13%	8	
7 This lab enhanced my understanding of the course's concepts. Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree	2.50	2.50	2.92	-	13%	0%	25%	50%	13%	8	

Comment Suggestion

Thankful that the recitations were often shorter than a full 2 hours. They were well structured and motivated!

Term	Spring, 2018 (2018A)	Enrollment	12	School	ARTS & SCIENCES
Activity Type	LAB	Eligible	12	Division	-
Cross Listed Sections	-	Responses	10	Department	MATHEMATICS
		Response Rate	83%	Subject	MATHEMATICS

Question and Scale	Average Ratings				This Instructor Only Worst Rating...Best Rating					Responses
	Instructor	Section	Course	-	0	1	2	3	4	
1 [C\$LN] - Overall quality of the TA leading the lab section. Scale: 0 to 4: Poor, Fair, Good, Very good, Excellent	3.20	3.20	2.76	-	0%	10%	10%	30%	50%	10
2 Overall quality of the lab. Scale: 0 to 4: Poor, Fair, Good, Very good, Excellent	2.80	2.80	2.53	-	0%	10%	30%	30%	30%	10
3 [C\$LN] - The TA communicated effectively. Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree	3.33	3.33	2.86	-	0%	0%	0%	67%	33%	6
4 [C\$LN] - The TA administered the lab in a well-organized manner. Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree	3.50	3.50	3.32	-	0%	0%	17%	17%	67%	6
5 [C\$LN] - The TA effectively stimulated my interest in the lab. Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree	3.17	3.17	2.89	-	0%	0%	33%	17%	50%	6
6 In this lab I developed my abilities to perform and interpret experiments. Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree	2.83	2.83	2.61	-	0%	0%	50%	17%	33%	6
7 This lab enhanced my understanding of the course's concepts. Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree	3.33	3.33	2.92	-	0%	0%	17%	33%	50%	6

Comment Suggestion

Siyu was a great TA. He was always very prepared for recitation and brought good examples to present to the class. His recitations were a very helpful supplement to the main course.

I love Siyu! He was always super helpful both in office hours and in the lab section. I really liked the way he organized lab; I thought it was helpful that he outlined the plan for the day before starting. I also really liked that he kept the lab sections a little more application based because it really helped me understand some of the more abstract proofs we had done in class. I also think that Siyu was very caring and that made the environment better for learning. Siyu has been one of my favorite TAs that I've ever had.